

Brad Seligman (SBN 083838)  
Jocelyn D. Larkin (SBN 110817)  
THE IMPACT FUND  
125 University Avenue  
Berkeley, CA 94710  
Telephone: 510.845.3473  
Facsimile: 510.845.3654

Joseph M. Sellers  
Christine E. Webber  
Jenny R. Yang  
COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL  
PLLC  
West Tower, Suite 500  
1100 New York Avenue  
Washington, DC 20005  
Telephone: 202.408.4600  
Facsimile: 202.408.4699

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr. (SBN 132099)  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
333 South Grand Avenue  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
Telephone: 213.229.7000  
Facsimile: 213.229.7520  
TBoutrous@gibsondunn.com

Attorney for Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

BETTY DUKES, PATRICIA SURGESON, EDITH ARANA,  
DEBORAH GUNTER, and CHRISTINE KWAPNOSKI, on  
behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

WAL-MART STORES, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 01-cv-2252-CRB

**STIPULATION OF REVISED  
BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR  
DEFENDANT WAL-MART  
STORES, INC. TO  
RESPOND TO FOURTH  
AMENDED COMPLAINT  
AND [PROPOSED] ORDER**

The undersigned counsel, on behalf of Betty Dukes, Patricia Surgeson, Edith Arana, Deborah Gunter, and Christine Kwapnoski ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. ("Wal-Mart"), hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed a Fourth Amended Complaint in the above-captioned case against Wal-Mart on October 27, 2011;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Wal-Mart previously reached an agreement, pursuant to Civil

STIPULATION OF REVISED BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANT WAL-MART  
STORES, INC. TO RESPOND TO FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER, CASE NO. 01-CV-2252-CRB

1 L.R. 6-1(a), to extend the time within which Wal-Mart must answer or otherwise respond to  
2 Plaintiffs' Fourth Amended Complaint and that a corresponding amount of additional time should  
3 be provided to Plaintiffs to address any motion by Wal-Mart regarding Plaintiffs' Fourth  
4 Amended Complaint;

5 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Wal-Mart further agree that to avoid potentially redundant  
6 motion practice, briefing, or responsive pleadings, any motion challenging the Fourth Amended  
7 Complaint should be resolved by the Court prior to the filing of an Answer by Wal-Mart;

8 WHEREAS, this Court previously extended the dates for filing of a motion to dismiss the  
9 Fourth Amended Complaint by stipulation and order, Docket No. 769;

10 WHEREAS, since that time, due to the press of the holidays on Wal-Mart's retail business  
11 and related commitments for the legal business, Wal-Mart has asked Plaintiffs to modify the  
12 briefing schedule, and they have consented, subject to this Court's approval, *see* Declaration of  
13 Rachel S. Brass in Support of Stipulation of Revised Briefing Schedule for Defendant Wal-Mart  
14 Stores, Inc. to Respond to Fourth Amended Complaint;

15 WHEREAS, the stipulated changes to the briefing schedule, described below, do not alter  
16 the date by which any reply brief by Wal-Mart shall be filed, such that all briefing shall be  
17 completed by the same date as was previously ordered by the Court, *see* Docket No. 769;

18 THEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Wal-Mart stipulate and agree as follows:

19 1. The deadline by which Wal-Mart must answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs'  
20 Fourth Amended Complaint is extended to January 13, 2012;

21 2. Should Wal-Mart move against the Fourth Amended Complaint within the time  
22 period specified in paragraph 4, an opposition to any such motion shall be filed no later than  
23 March 23, 2012;

24 3. The date for the filing of a reply, if one is to be filed, shall remain unchanged as  
25 April 13, 2012;

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

STIPULATION OF REVISED BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANT WAL-MART  
STORES, INC. TO RESPOND TO FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER, CASE NO. 01-CV-2252-CRB

4. Should Wal-Mart move against the Fourth Amended Complaint, any answer to that Fourth Amended Complaint shall be filed within thirty (30) days following the entry of an order resolving Wal-Mart's motion.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: December 23, 2011

By: /s/ Brad Seligman

Brad Seligman (SBN 083838)  
Jocelyn D. Larkin (SBN 110817)  
THE IMPACT FUND  
125 University Avenue  
Berkeley, CA 94710  
Telephone: 510.845.3473  
Facsimile: 510.845.3654

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: /s/ Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr.

Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr. (SBN 132099)  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
333 South Grand Avenue  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
Telephone: 213.229.7000  
Facsimile: 213.229.7520

Attorney for Defendant

**I, Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr., attest that  
concurrence in the filing of this document  
has been obtained from the other signatory.**

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED

DATE: January 3, 2012

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CHARLES R. BREYER

